(ia



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/618,500	07/18/2000	John A. Hagan	RPC.0515-PUS	8840
75	90 03/26/2002			
Stephanie M Mandfield Brooks & Kushman P C 1000 Town Center 22nd Floor			EXAMINER	
			POLLARD, STEVEN M	
Southfield, MI 48075-1351			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/26/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/618,500

Applicant(s)

Hagan

Examiner

Steven Pollard

Art Unit **3727**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
A SHOTHE N - Exter aft - If the be - If NO co - Failur - Any	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely. I period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed		
Status				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 8, 20			
2a) 💢	s action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-48	is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-16,18-48			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	•		
8) 🗆		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ntion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.		
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
13)□ a)□	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p □ All b)□ Some* c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority described application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the action for a list of the control of the certified copies of the priority described application. 			
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachm	ent(s)			
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		8) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
17) 🔲 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	20) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/618,500

Art Unit: 3727

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 9, 14 16, 18 23, and 34 36 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Fordon.

Members #17 meet the bail handle limitation. The device of Fordon is of unitary construction.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10 13, 24 33, and 40 48 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fordon in view of Overholt, et. al.

It would have ben obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have employed the collapsible teaching set forth in Overholt in the construction of the device of Fordon, motivated by the compact storage achieved thereby. The above set forth device is unitary construction.

5. Claims 14 - 16 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/618,500

Art Unit: 3727

The application does not appear to disclose a container having opposed side and end walls integrally formed with a base frame.

6. Claims 37 - 39 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fordon.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have employed a bag liner in the construction of the device of Fordon, motivated by cost. To have employed a ventilated inner container would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, motivated by the intended contents.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Steven M. Pollard

25 March 2002

Steven Pollard
Primary Examiner